International Journal of Recent Research and Review, Vol. XIX, Issue 3, September 2026

ISSN 2277 – 8322

A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF GENERATIVE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE MODELS: ARCHITECTURES, APPLICATIONS, SECURITY CHALLENGES, AND EMERGING TRENDS

Dr. Rahul Mishra
Associate Professor
Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Jagannath University, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

Himanshu Sharma
Assistant Professor
Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Jagannath University, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

Abstract- Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI) has emerged as a major area of research in Computer Science, enabling machines to generate human-like text, images, audio, video, software code, and other forms of synthetic content. Recent developments in Transformer-based Large Language Models (LLMs), Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs), Variational Autoencoders (VAEs), and diffusion models have significantly expanded the capabilities of intelligent systems. However, different generative models exhibit substantial differences in architecture, training requirements, generation quality, computational complexity, controllability, and security vulnerabilities. This paper presents a comparative study of major generative AI models, focusing on their underlying architectures, applications, strengths, limitations, and security challenges. The study compares GANs, VAEs, Transformer-based models, and diffusion models using parameters such as generation quality, training complexity, controllability, computational requirements, scalability, and application suitability. A comparative analysis indicates that Transformer-based models are particularly effective for language and multimodal tasks, GANs remain useful for high-quality synthetic data generation, VAEs provide efficient latent-space representation, while diffusion models demonstrate strong performance in high-fidelity image and multimodal generation. The paper also

ISSN 2277 – 8322

examines emerging issues including hallucination, prompt injection, data leakage, adversarial attacks, copyright concerns, model misuse, and deepfake generation. Finally, future research directions involving efficient architectures, trustworthy GenAI, multimodal intelligence, privacy-preserving learning, model compression, and responsible AI are discussed.

Keywords: Generative Artificial Intelligence, Large Language Models, Transformers, GANs, VAEs, Diffusion Models, Multimodal AI, Cybersecurity, Generative Models, Artificial Intelligence.

I. INTRODUCTION

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has evolved from rule-based computational systems to highly sophisticated learning-based architectures capable of understanding complex patterns and generating new information. Among the most significant developments in recent years is Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI), which refers to computational models capable of creating new content based on patterns learned from large datasets. Unlike conventional discriminative AI systems that primarily classify or predict existing information, generative models learn data distributions and use those representations to produce novel outputs.

The rapid development of deep learning has resulted in several important generative architectures. Variational Autoencoders (VAEs) introduced probabilistic latent-space modeling, while Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) established a competitive generator-discriminator framework for producing realistic synthetic data. Transformer architectures subsequently transformed natural language processing and enabled the development of large language models capable of text generation, reasoning assistance, code generation, and multimodal interaction. More recently, diffusion models have demonstrated remarkable capabilities in image synthesis, editing, video generation, and multimodal applications.

Generative AI has applications across software engineering, healthcare, education, finance, cybersecurity, entertainment, robotics, content creation, and scientific research. In Computer Science, GenAI can support automatic programming, software testing, documentation, database query generation, cybersecurity analysis, human-computer interaction, and intelligent information retrieval.

ISSN 2277 – 8322

Despite these developments, generative models introduce several challenges. Their training can require substantial computational resources, and their outputs may contain factual inaccuracies or unwanted biases. Security concerns include prompt injection, adversarial manipulation, training-data extraction, privacy leakage, malicious code generation, model theft, and synthetic-media misuse.

Therefore, a systematic comparison of major generative AI architectures is important for understanding their relative characteristics and identifying appropriate applications. This paper presents a comparative study of four major model families: GANs, VAEs, Transformer-based generative models, and diffusion models.

II. RELATED RESEARCH

Research in generative AI has progressed through several architectural generations. Goodfellow et al. introduced GANs, in which a generator attempts to create realistic samples while a discriminator attempts to distinguish generated samples from real samples. This adversarial framework became influential in image synthesis and synthetic-data generation.

Kingma and Welling introduced the Variational Autoencoder, which combines neural-network-based representation learning with probabilistic inference. VAEs enable generation through sampling from a learned latent distribution and have been applied to image generation, representation learning, anomaly detection, and data synthesis.

Vaswani et al. proposed the Transformer architecture based primarily on self-attention mechanisms. The architecture significantly improved the scalability of sequence modeling and became the foundation for many modern language models.

The development of large-scale Transformer-based language models subsequently enabled increasingly capable systems for natural-language generation, question answering, code generation, summarization, translation, and multimodal interaction.

Ho et al. introduced denoising diffusion probabilistic models, establishing a powerful framework for high-quality generative modeling. Diffusion approaches progressively transform data into noise during training and learn a reverse process that reconstructs meaningful samples.

ISSN 2277 – 8322

More recent research has focused on scaling, multimodality, efficient inference, alignment, model safety, retrieval augmentation, and responsible deployment. Research has also highlighted limitations such as hallucination, adversarial prompting, privacy risks, bias, and the difficulty of reliably controlling generated content.

III. THEORETICAL FOUNDATION OF GENERATIVE AI MODELS

3.1 Generative Artificial Intelligence

Generative AI models attempt to learn an underlying data distribution:

$$P(X)$$

where Xrepresents the observed data. After learning the distribution, the model can generate new samples that approximately follow the characteristics of the training data.

The general generative process can be represented as:

$$z \rightarrow G(z) \rightarrow X'$$

where $z$is a latent representation, $G$is a generative function, and $X'$is the generated output.

3.2 Generative Adversarial Networks

GANs consist of two neural networks:

- **Generator (G)**
- **Discriminator (D)**

The generator attempts to produce realistic samples, while the discriminator determines whether a sample is real or generated.

The traditional GAN objective can be represented as:

$$\min_G \max_D V(D, G) = E_{x \sim p_{data}(x)}[\log D(x)] + E_{z \sim p_z(z)}\left[\log\left(1 - D\left(G(z)\right)\right)\right]$$

GANs are particularly suitable for image synthesis and realistic synthetic-data generation.

Advantages

- High-quality generated samples
- Effective image synthesis
- Useful for data augmentation
- Suitable for domain-specific generation

Limitations

ISSN 2277 – 8322

- Training instability
- Mode collapse
- Difficult optimization
- Sensitivity to architecture and hyperparameters

3.3 Variational Autoencoders

VAEs consist primarily of an encoder and decoder. The encoder maps input data into a probabilistic latent space, while the decoder reconstructs or generates data from the latent representation.

The VAE objective generally combines reconstruction loss and KL-divergence:

$$L = L_{reconstruction} + D_{KL}\big(q(z \mid x) \mid\mid p(z)\big)$$

VAEs are useful when meaningful latent representations and controlled generation are required.

Advantages

- Stable training
- Meaningful latent representations
- Efficient sampling
- Useful for anomaly detection

Limitations

- Generated outputs can be less sharp than GAN-generated outputs
- Reconstruction quality depends on latent representation
- Potential posterior-collapse problems

3.4 Transformer-Based Generative Models

Transformers use self-attention to identify relationships between elements of an input sequence.

The attention mechanism can be expressed as:

$$Attention(Q, K, V) = softmax\left(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d_k}}\right)V$$

where Q, K, and Vrepresent query, key, and value matrices.

Transformer-based generative models have become central to modern language generation and increasingly support multimodal inputs and outputs.

Applications include:

- Text generation
- Code generation
- Question answering

ISSN 2277 – 8322

- Translation
- Summarization
- Conversational AI
- Software development
- Multimodal generation

3.5 Diffusion Models

Diffusion models generate content by learning a reverse denoising process. During forward diffusion, noise is progressively introduced into the original data. The model subsequently learns to reverse this process.

A simplified forward process can be represented as:

$$q(x_t \mid x_{t-1}) = N\left(\sqrt{1-\beta_t}, x_{t-1}\beta_t I\right)$$

The reverse process generates progressively cleaner samples.

Diffusion models have become particularly important in image, video, audio, and multimodal generation.

IV. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

The major generative AI architectures differ considerably in their design and practical characteristics.

Table 1. Architectural Comparison

Parameter	**GAN**	**VAE**	**Transformer**	**Diffusion Model**
Basic architecture	Generator + Discriminator	Encoder + Decoder	Attention-based neural network	Forward and reverse diffusion
Primary strength	Realistic generation	Latent representation	Sequence generation	High-fidelity synthesis
Typical domain	Images/data	Images/data	Text/code/multimodal	Images/video/audio
Training complexity	High	Moderate	Very high at large scale	High
Training	Relatively	Generally	Generally stable	Generally stable

stability	difficult	stable		
Generation speed	High after training	High	High/moderate depending on model	Generally slower
Controllability	Moderate	High	High through conditioning	High
Computational requirement	High	Moderate	Very high for large models	High
Main limitation	Mode collapse	Blurry output	Hallucination/resource cost	Sampling cost

4.1 Comparative Analysis of Applications

Table 2. Application-Oriented Comparison

Application	**GAN**	**VAE**	**Transformer**	**Diffusion**
Image generation	Excellent	Good	Good	Excellent
Text generation	Limited	Limited	Excellent	Emerging
Code generation	Limited	Limited	Excellent	Emerging
Image enhancement	Excellent	Good	Good	Excellent
Synthetic data	Excellent	Excellent	Good	Excellent
Video generation	Good	Moderate	Excellent/Emerging	Excellent
Anomaly detection	Good	Excellent	Good	Good
Multimodal generation	Moderate	Moderate	Excellent	Excellent

The comparison indicates that no single architecture is optimal for every application. GANs are highly effective in applications requiring realistic synthetic samples, while VAEs are advantageous where latent-space representation and probabilistic generation are important. Transformer-based architectures are particularly suitable for language, code, and multimodal reasoning tasks. Diffusion models demonstrate strong capabilities in high-quality visual generation.

V. SECURITY CHALLENGES

The rapid adoption of GenAI has created new cybersecurity challenges.

5.1 Prompt Injection

Prompt injection attacks attempt to manipulate an AI system through specially designed instructions. Such attacks may cause a model to ignore intended instructions or reveal information that should remain protected.

5.2 Data Leakage

Generative models trained on sensitive datasets may potentially reproduce or expose portions of training information. This creates privacy concerns, particularly when models are trained using confidential or personally identifiable information.

5.3 Adversarial Attacks

Small or carefully designed modifications to inputs can influence model outputs. Adversarial attacks are particularly important in safety-critical and security-sensitive applications.

5.4 Hallucination

Generative models can produce fluent but factually incorrect information. This is especially significant when GenAI systems are used for decision support, programming, education, or information retrieval.

5.5 Deepfakes and Synthetic Media

Generative models can produce realistic images, audio, and videos. Although these technologies have legitimate applications, they can also facilitate impersonation, misinformation, fraud, and identity-related attacks.

5.6 Malicious Code Generation

Code-generating AI systems can assist software development but may also generate vulnerable or malicious code. Therefore, generated software requires appropriate validation, testing, and security analysis.

VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The comparative analysis demonstrates that the evolution of generative AI has shifted from specialized generation mechanisms toward increasingly general-purpose and multimodal architectures.

ISSN 2277 – 8322

Table 3. Overall Comparative Evaluation

Criterion	GAN	VAE	Transformer	Diffusion
Generation quality	4.5/5	3.5/5	4.5/5	5.0/5
Training stability	3.0/5	4.5/5	4.5/5	4.0/5
Computational efficiency	3.5/5	4.0/5	2.5/5	3.0/5
Controllability	3.5/5	4.0/5	4.5/5	4.5/5
Scalability	3.5/5	3.5/5	5.0/5	4.5/5
Multimodal capability	2.5/5	2.5/5	5.0/5	4.5/5

Scores represent a qualitative comparative assessment based on commonly reported characteristics of the model families rather than results from a single experimental benchmark.

The analysis indicates that **Transformer architectures provide strong scalability and multimodal potential**, particularly when large-scale computational resources are available. Their attention mechanism allows them to model long-range dependencies and supports flexible conditioning.

Diffusion models demonstrate strong generation quality, particularly in visual generation. Their principal limitation is computational cost associated with iterative sampling, although research on accelerated sampling and efficient architectures continues to reduce this limitation.

GANs remain valuable for specialized high-quality generation, particularly where fast generation after training is important. However, training instability and mode collapse remain important limitations.

VAEs provide useful latent representations and relatively stable optimization, making them attractive for representation learning, anomaly detection, and controlled generation. Their generated samples may, however, lack the visual sharpness associated with modern GAN and diffusion approaches.

Overall, the results demonstrate that model selection should depend on the intended application, computational resources,

ISSN 2277 – 8322

required generation quality, controllability, and security requirements rather than relying on a single universal architecture.

VII. EMERGING TRENDS

Several trends are shaping the future development of generative AI.

7.1 Multimodal Generative AI

Modern systems increasingly integrate text, images, audio, video, and other data modalities. Multimodal models can support richer human-computer interaction and complex information-processing tasks.

7.2 Retrieval-Augmented Generation

Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) combines generative models with external information retrieval. This approach can improve factual grounding and enable models to work with domain-specific and frequently updated information.

7.3 Small and Efficient Language Models

Research is increasingly focused on smaller models capable of operating on local devices and resource-constrained environments. Model quantization, pruning, distillation, and parameter-efficient fine-tuning are important approaches in this area.

7.4 AI-Assisted Software Engineering

Generative AI is increasingly being applied to program generation, debugging, test-case creation, documentation, code explanation, and software maintenance.

7.5 Explainable and Trustworthy GenAI

Future systems require improved transparency, reliability, fairness, privacy, and accountability. Explainability and uncertainty estimation are becoming important components of trustworthy AI.

VIII. FUTURE PROSPECTS

The future development of generative AI is expected to focus on improving generation quality while reducing computational and security costs. Efficient Transformer architectures and advanced diffusion techniques may enable high-quality generation on smaller computing platforms.

Privacy-preserving generative AI is another important research direction. Federated learning, differential privacy, secure computation, and synthetic-data generation may help organizations use AI while reducing exposure of sensitive information.

Another important direction is the development of **AI agents** capable of planning, tool utilization, reasoning, and executing multi-step tasks. Combining generative models with external tools, databases, software environments, and retrieval systems may substantially expand their usefulness in Computer Science.

Future research should also investigate standardized benchmarks for evaluating hallucination, security, robustness, fairness, energy consumption, and computational efficiency. The development of reliable watermarking and provenance mechanisms could further support the identification and authentication of AI-generated content.

Finally, hybrid architectures combining the strengths of Transformers, diffusion models, VAEs, retrieval systems, and other neural approaches may provide more controllable and efficient generative systems.

IX. CONCLUSION

Generative Artificial Intelligence has become an important research area in Computer Science because of its ability to generate complex and realistic content. This paper compared four major generative model families: GANs, VAEs, Transformer-based models, and diffusion models. The comparison demonstrates that each architecture provides different advantages. GANs are effective for realistic synthetic-data generation, VAEs provide useful probabilistic latent representations, Transformers offer strong capabilities for language, code, and multimodal applications, while diffusion models provide high-quality content generation, particularly for visual applications. At the same time, each model family presents challenges related to computational requirements, controllability, reliability, privacy, and security.

The future of GenAI is likely to involve increasingly multimodal, efficient, secure, and domain-specific systems. Continued research into trustworthy AI, efficient architectures, privacy protection, model robustness, and responsible deployment will be essential for achieving reliable and beneficial generative AI applications.

References

[1] Goodfellow, I., Pouget-Abadie, J., Mirza, M., Xu, B., Warde-Farley, D., Ozair, S., Courville, A., & Bengio, Y. (2014). Generative adversarial nets. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 27.

[2] Kingma, D. P., & Welling, M. (2014). Auto-encoding variational Bayes.

ISSN 2277 – 8322

International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR).

[3] Vaswani, A., Shazeer, N., Parmar, N., et al. (2017). Attention is all you need. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 30.

[4] Ho, J., Jain, A., & Abbeel, P. (2020). Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33, 6840–6851.

[5] Radford, A., Wu, J., Child, R., Luan, D., Amodei, D., & Sutskever, I. (2019). *Language Models are Unsupervised Multitask Learners*. OpenAI.

[6] Brown, T. B., Mann, B., Ryder, N., et al. (2020). Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33, 1877–1901.

[7] Bommasani, R., Hudson, D. A., Adeli, E., et al. (2021). On the opportunities and risks of foundation models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.07258*.

[8] Rombach, R., Blattmann, A., Lorenz, D., Esser, P., & Ommer, B. (2022). High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 10684–10695.

[13]

[9] Ouyang, L., Wu, J., Jiang, X., et al. (2022). Training language models to follow instructions with human feedback. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35, 27730–27744.

[10] OpenAI. (2023). GPT-4 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774*.

[11] Bommasani, R., et al. (2021). Foundation models and their opportunities and risks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.07258*.

[12] Dwivedi, Y. K., Kshetri, N., Hughes, L., et al. (2023). Opinion paper: “So what if ChatGPT wrote it?” Multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges and implications of generative conversational AI. *International Journal of Information Management*, 71, 102642.